

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/811,129	CHOW ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Douglas B. Blair	2142	

**All Participants:**

(1) Douglas B. Blair.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven Yates, Reg. No. 42,242.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 September 2005

**Time:** 2:30 ~

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*102 rejection based on Cotichini*

**Claims discussed:**

1-43

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*US Patent Number 6,269,392*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Yates discussed the inventive intent of the application and clarified his interpretation of the Cotichini reference.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)